

Scheme name / summary description		Value £'000
A	Economic growth	
	New additions	
Page 33	<p>Little Kelham Bridge</p> <p>Why do we need the project?</p> <p>There is a significant disconnect at Kelham Island in the Upper Don Trail, (a 17 km strategic riverside walking and cycling route connecting the City Centre with the Peak District). The new Little Kelham mixed housing and employment area has no direct connection to Kelham Island and the Museum, shops and other attractions around Kelham Square.</p> <p>The viability and success of the Little Kelham scheme, a flagship for the introduction of higher quality and family housing in the City Centre, is in part dependent on a well-connected and vibrant series of public spaces around Green Lane and Eagle Works enjoying strong footfall. Access to the Sheffield Industrial Museum at Kelham Island, one of Sheffield's key tourism destinations, is currently from a cul-de-sac with no passing footfall. This creates problems of accessibility and visibility, seen most acutely in events such as the Christmas and Summer Markets.</p> <p>How are we going to achieve it?</p> <p>The project proposes a simple new pedestrian/cycleway Bridge over the goyt at Kelham Island, between Kelham Island Museum and the Little Kelham housing development (Green Lane). The bridge will form a key missing link in both the proposed Low Traffic Neighbourhood and the Upper Don Trail long distance active travel route from the City Centre to Stocksbridge and the Peak District.</p> <p>The bridge will be constructed by the developers of the Little Kelham site, CITU who will also be responsible for the maintenance of the bridge.</p> <p>Sheffield City Council will be contributing £212.5k, subject to signed legal agreement. The project will have a £220k budget funded by Section 106 which includes £7.5k of SCC fees. A further contribution of £20k has been secured from the Upper Don Walk Trust and this will be paid direct to CITU by the Trust.</p> <p>What are the benefits?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Completes the direct off-road riverside route • Supports the Museum • Supports the Little Kelham mixed use development • Complements the Low Traffic Neighbourhood <p>When will the project be completed?</p> <p>[2021-22]</p>	+220

	Funding Source	Section 106	Amount	220k	Status		Approved		
	Procurement	Grant to be made to CITU (See Appendix 4)							
Variations and reasons for change									
Page 34	Upper Don Valley Flood Defence Scheme								
	Scheme description								
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The confluence of the River Loxley and the Upper Don’s relatively steep channel, with several weirs and river crossings in the area, results in communities and businesses being at high risk of flooding from flood events that exceed the channel capacity, with river crossings trapping debris and restricting channel conveyance. Due to the steep topography of the area, once flood waters leave the river channel they can travel some distance out of channel, resulting in a widespread impact. The most recent flood event occurred in 2007 and resulted in widespread damage to local homes and businesses, causing great distress to residents and forcing many businesses to suspend operations for many months. The Loxley Confluence Flood Alleviation Scheme will deliver the long-awaited flood defences needed to reduce flood risk to sustainable levels and support the long-term viability of the local community and businesses. 								
	<p>What has changed</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Delays to the scheme have been experienced due to delays obtaining work permits from the Environment Agency and a dispute with a landowner. The element of SCC match funding will now be provided from Community Infrastructure Levy rather than capital receipts. <p>Variation type: -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Slippage Funding change 								
	Funding	Environment Agency / SCRIF / CIL							
	Procurement	Unchanged.							
B	Transport								
New additions									
None									

20/21 -366
21/22 +366

Variations and reasons for change				
Page 35	<p>Hillsborough 20 mph Scheme</p> <p>Scheme description</p> <p>On 8 March 2012, the City Council Cabinet Highways Committee approved the 'Sheffield 20mph Speed Limit Strategy', the long-term aim of which is to establish 20mph as the maximum speed in appropriate residential areas of Sheffield.</p> <p>In June 2019, Cabinet approved feasibility, consultation and design works to be carried out in Fox Hill, Hillsborough and Sharrowvale with a view of implementing 20 mph zones.</p> <p>What has changed?</p> <p>The 20mph scheme for Hillsborough is now ready for implementation and is planned to be completed in 2020-21. Initially the scheme had been reported to cost a total of £120k however the costs have now increased by £82k due to the area being larger than initially anticipated resulting in an increase in construction costs, an increase in signage, electrical works and traffic management costs.</p> <p>The full scheme cost is expected to be £202k and the increase will be funded by Local Transport Plan. The commuted sum for the project is estimated at £32k.</p> <p>Variation type: -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • [budget increase] 	+82		
	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Funding</td> <td>Local Transport Plan</td> </tr> </table>	Funding	Local Transport Plan	
	Funding	Local Transport Plan		
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Procurement</td> <td>Unchanged.</td> </tr> </table>	Procurement	Unchanged.		
Procurement	Unchanged.			
<p>Transforming Cities Fund Budget Increases</p> <p>Sheffield City Council has previously been awarded funding through the Transforming Cities Fund Phase 1 (TCF) to invest in schemes that promote active travel (cycling and walking) to enable people to access jobs, education etc. through greener and healthier forms of travel and two projects are currently underway to utilise this funding.</p> <p>What has changed</p> <p>In February 2019 cabinet approved the use of £1.5m of corporate resources to begin development work on projects to be funded by Phase 2 of TCF. The first stage of TCF funding agreements for £944.5k have been signed and the TCF2 budgets are to be increased by this value in addition, a number of the budgets have been re-profiled to reflect the project delivery.</p> <p>Refer to the table below:-</p>	+945			

	Approved Budget All Years [000]	TCF Stage 1 Increase [000]	CRP Adjustment All Years [000]	Revised Budget All Years [000]	
93083 TCF City Centre	336	187	0	523	
93085 TCF Nether Edge Wedge	218	158	-78	299	
93089 TCF South West Bus Corridors	149	76	172	398	
93090 TCF Housing Zone North	232	188	-94	326	
93086 TCF Magna	193	56	107	357	
93087 TCF AMID	331	279	-107	503	
	1,460	945	0	2,405	
<p>The TCF City Centre project scope has been extended to include bus re-routing works on Rockingham Street.</p> <p>Due to Covid social distancing measures, buses were temporarily re-routed down Carver Street, to enable the pedestrianisation of Pinstone Street. Further works are now required to re-route the buses again, to remove bus services from Carver Street and direct along Rockingham Street. This is to enable the partial closure of Carver Street required for the Heart of the City II development.</p> <p>Variation type: -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • [budget increase] • [reprofile] • [scope] 					
Funding	Transforming Cities Funding				
Procurement	Unchanged.				
<p>Various Transport Schemes Slippage / Reprofiles</p> <p>Following an extremely challenging year for project delivery largely due to the impact of COVID 19, a number of transport schemes have submitted requests for reprofile / slippage of budgets to reflect the revised delivery timescales reflected in their recovery plans. Details of these schemes and values are detailed in the Slippage / Reprofile summary document. See appendix 2 for details</p>					<p>20/21 -5,666</p> <p>21/22 +5,666</p>

C	Quality of life	
	New additions	
	None	
	Variations and reasons for change	
Page 37	<p>General Cemetery Phase 2</p> <p>Scheme description In June 2018, SCC was successful in securing a Heritage Lottery Fund (HLF) grant offer towards the Sheffield General Cemetery (SGC) project.</p> <p>This funding has given SCC the opportunity to address:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Health & Safety / repair liability: The value of capital repair work required to address just the high risk repairs needed is estimated at £536K. This does not included fees for procurement, project management, specialist experts to design and specify work to RIBA 4 and fees associated with discharging planning / Listed Building consents. - Statutory obligation: The site is of national historic importance and is Grade II* on the Historic England Register of Parks and Gardens), as such SCC has a statutory duty to appropriately manage and maintain the property. The poor / declining condition of the site and inappropriate management / maintenance has resulted in the site being on the HE 'Heritage at Risk' register for many years. The site is also on the SCC Asset risk Register as a result of H&S issues relating to structures. - Open space deficit in the area: The area has a recognised open space deficit and this is the largest park within the Sharrow catchment. However it is not currently fit for purpose and as a result is under-used. <p>What has changed? The project is now ready to seek approval for the procurement of a main Contractor to carry out works. This stage follows on from the appointment of Colvin & Moggridge as the Lead Consultant (FBC for £1,207.5K approved in March 2020) to develop the project through RIBA stages 4-6.</p> <p>The appointment of a main contractor is the next step towards completion of the project in line with the HLF approved programme to ensure all works and financial closure are achieved before, or as close to a possible, the grant expiry date of 31/03/2024. As a result of the COVID 19 pandemic, HLF has given a relaxation on the programme/grant expiry date but the approved funding remains the same. The Project Team and Design Team are committed to completion as close as possible to the original programme to realise benefits to stakeholders as soon as possible in these uncertain times.</p> <p>Variation type: Re-profile and Procurement Strategy</p>	<p>20/21 -86 21/22 -711 22/23 +942 23/24 -145</p>

Page 38	<p>Costs</p> <table> <tr><td>CDS Fees</td><td>£241.3K</td></tr> <tr><td>Surveys</td><td>£322.2K</td></tr> <tr><td>Lead Consultant</td><td>£274.5K</td></tr> <tr><td>Other Fees</td><td>£214.2K</td></tr> <tr><td>Construction</td><td>£2,673.9K</td></tr> <tr><td>Contingency</td><td>£155.4K</td></tr> <tr><td>TOTAL</td><td>£3,881.5K</td></tr> </table> <p>Budget</p> <table> <tr><td>Actuals 19/20</td><td>£49.7K</td></tr> <tr><td>Current 20/21 Budget</td><td>£404.6K - £85.5K = £319.1K</td></tr> <tr><td>Current 21/22 Budget</td><td>£1,994.7K - £710.7K = £1,284.0K</td></tr> <tr><td>Current 22/23 Budget</td><td>£1,152.5K + £941.6K = £2,094.1K</td></tr> <tr><td>Current 23/24 Budget</td><td>£279.9K - £145.4K = £134.5K</td></tr> <tr><td>TOTAL 19-24</td><td>£3,881.5K - £0.0K = £3,881.5K</td></tr> </table>		CDS Fees	£241.3K	Surveys	£322.2K	Lead Consultant	£274.5K	Other Fees	£214.2K	Construction	£2,673.9K	Contingency	£155.4K	TOTAL	£3,881.5K	Actuals 19/20	£49.7K	Current 20/21 Budget	£404.6K - £85.5K = £319.1K	Current 21/22 Budget	£1,994.7K - £710.7K = £1,284.0K	Current 22/23 Budget	£1,152.5K + £941.6K = £2,094.1K	Current 23/24 Budget	£279.9K - £145.4K = £134.5K	TOTAL 19-24	£3,881.5K - £0.0K = £3,881.5K	
	CDS Fees	£241.3K																											
	Surveys	£322.2K																											
Lead Consultant	£274.5K																												
Other Fees	£214.2K																												
Construction	£2,673.9K																												
Contingency	£155.4K																												
TOTAL	£3,881.5K																												
Actuals 19/20	£49.7K																												
Current 20/21 Budget	£404.6K - £85.5K = £319.1K																												
Current 21/22 Budget	£1,994.7K - £710.7K = £1,284.0K																												
Current 22/23 Budget	£1,152.5K + £941.6K = £2,094.1K																												
Current 23/24 Budget	£279.9K - £145.4K = £134.5K																												
TOTAL 19-24	£3,881.5K - £0.0K = £3,881.5K																												
Funding	HLF £3,098.4K + S106 £91.3K + RCC £347.8K + Capital Receipts £344.0K = £3,881.5K																												
Procurement	i. Capital works by restricted procedure with SSQ.																												
D	Green and open spaces																												
	New additions																												
	<p>Westfield Playground</p> <p>Why do we need the project?</p> <p>The purpose of the project is to provide a new playground in the Westfield Community. This is part of a wider project led by local residents and supported by Westfield Big Local, Local Councillors and other partners working in the neighbourhood.</p> <p>This is a part of the city which has no playground facilities and there has been a campaign led by a local community group 'Let's Get Westfield a Park' over a number of years to raise interest in this as an important need, and to plan and fundraise to enable this facility to be provided.</p> <p>The Westfield estate is situated within the Mosborough Ward and is predominantly made up of social housing in the inner core, surrounded by owner occupied housing. The area is geographically isolated, and as recognised social and economic disadvantage.</p>	+183																											

How are we going to achieve it?

Deliver a new playground on the open space site at Westfield Northway.

What are the benefits?

Objectives

- Create an accessible and safe new playground in Westfield

Outputs

- Play equipment for a range of ages and abilities (climbing unit, swing set, inclusive basket swing, inclusive roundabout, play panels)
- 122m fencing and 2 gates to ensure safety of the playground users
- Soft landscaping tree planting & bulb planting
- 1 bike track for balance bikes and scooters
- 1 seating area

Benefits

- Health and wellbeing improvements for local communities, through the establishment of a new outdoor play facility that encourages children and families to be active
- Improved quality of an existing open space
- Increase the positive use of the 'Westfield Park'

When will the project be completed?

July 2021

Costs

Feasibility	£2.7K
CDS Fees	£14.0K
UED Fees	£9.2K
Commercial Services	£1.0K
Construction	£142.0K
Contingency	£14.1K
Total	£183.0K

Budget

20/21	£8.2K
<u>21/22</u>	<u>£174.8K</u>
Total	£183.0K

Funding

Westfield Big Local	£108K
Parks Investment Fund	£15K

Public Health £45K Housing Services RCC £15K Total £183K								
Funding Source	See Funding details above	Amount	£183K	Status	All funding secured	Approved	Green & Open Spaces PG Dec20	
Procurement		i. Supply and installation of the new playground via competitive tender with suitability assessment. ii. Surveys by quotation.						
Page 40	Forge Dam Heritage and Wildlife Improvements							+327
	<p>Why do we need the project? The Friends of the Porter Valley have raised funds for the restoration of the mill pond at Forge Dam, including de-silting, engineering works, access improvements, better visitor welcome and wildlife improvements. This work is the second phase of the 2011 Porter Valley Masterplan; the first phase, which was the restoration of the Shepherd Wheel, was successfully completed in 2012.</p> <p>Forge Dam is popular with families, walkers, cyclists, dog walkers and horse riders. It is also used by school groups and organised health walk groups from across the city. It has been particularly busy during lockdown periods of the pandemic, providing a “Peak District experience” walking / cycling distance from the city centre. It is anticipated the importance of Forge Dam as a visitor hub and gateway location will continue to grow. Improving the visitor amenity will support the sustainability of Better Parks commercial partners such as Forge Dam café.</p> <p>In times of low rainfall, the silt is visible at the surface of the millpond. Continual deposition is forming terrestrial areas which further slow the flow of water and exacerbate the issue. The silt is a hazard to visitors, deep silt represents an increased risk of drowning even to people who can swim. It’s not apparent to visitors how deep the silt is and continued silting of the pond will result in the eventual loss of pond habitat and reduced amenity.</p> <p>How are we going to achieve it? The site will be restored to an open body of water of varying depths, with a reprofiled island and banks adding to wildlife habitat. An engineered barrier will direct the main flow of the Porter Brook over the spillway, reducing future sediment deposition, and making future maintenance manageable. The sediment from the pond will be used as a cover material for a closed landfill site (currently proposed as Beighton).</p> <p>Funding allowing, the footpath around the Dam will be resurfaced and new interpretation boards about the history and wildlife of the site will be added for the benefit of visitors.</p> <p>It will be a 2 stage Design and Build open tender, with a suitability assessment to enable early contractor involvement to fully understand scope and risks of project.</p>							

What are the benefits?

Objectives

- Restore the millpond to an open body of water
- Enhance visitor experience but providing history and wildlife information

Benefits

- The pond will be restored to be an attractive feature of a popular destination
- A long-term solution will be put in place to reduce future sediment deposition and therefore maintenance costs
- The value of the site to wildlife will be enhanced

When will the project be completed?

March 2022

Costs

CDS Fees	£25.0K
Other Fees	£1.5K
Surveys	£17.5K
Contractor Fees Stage 1	£42.0K
Construction Stage 2	£240.7K
TOTAL	£326.7K

Budget

20/21	£21.5K
21/22	£305.2K
TOTAL	£326.7K

Funding

S106 Agreement 564	£25.6K
S106 Agreement 871	£41.0K
Friends of Porter Valley	£260.1K
TOTAL	£326.7K

Further notes on Friends of Porter Valley Donation

The Friends of Porter Valley have spent 8 years fundraising for this project and are happy to pay over those funds as a donation on the condition that SCC use them for this purpose specifically. They have asked for a letter of acknowledgement to formalise that and therefore given SCC an opportunity to formalise the payments they will make.

	<p>Should the recommendations be approved to proceed with the project, then the Council will need to accept the donation from FoPV. The donation has some conditions attached to it and it is proposed that an acknowledgement letter will be issued to FoPV from the Council. This letter, which has been drafted in readiness and will be issued following Cabinet approval of the Project, details the proposed donation and outlines what the Council will do. For example, that the Council will use the contribution for the restoration of the Forge Dam project only and that the Council will contribute s106 funds. Any of the contribution which remains unspent at the end of the project will be returned to FoPV. By issuing this letter the Council would be committed to its contents.</p> <p>Please note if any of the proposed donation is not received then it is stated within the letter that the project may not commence or may not be completed in full.</p>							
	Funding Source	S106 Friend of Porter Valley Contribution	Amount	£326.7K	Status	S106 Funding Available Commitment received from FoPV	Approved	Green & Open Spaces PG Dec20
	Procurement	i. Waterways management contractor by an open, two-stage tender procedure with suitability assessment.						
Page 42	Variations and reasons for change							
	None							
E	Housing growth							
	New additions							
	<p>New Build Council Housing - Titterton Close General Needs FEASIBILITY</p> <p>Why do we need the project?</p> <p>This site is part of the Attercliffe regeneration proposals and is HRA land that is proposed to be developed to provide affordable housing alongside the nearby proposed open market sale housing developments. This proposed Housing Growth Stock Increase project to provide General Needs properties is part of the New Homes Delivery Plan and the HRA Business Plan, and aims to deliver new homes in line with the identified need.</p> <p>The Attercliffe area is an SCC priority for regeneration and it is critical to provide a mixed tenure offer in order to create a balanced and sustainable neighbourhood.</p> <p>SCC need to quickly provide an affordable rental housing offer to ensure a balance of tenures remains available in the area. Without this, there is a risk of the exclusion of the section of the community with a need for this type of housing</p> <p>How are we going to achieve it?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conduct feasibility to provide approximately 20nr SCC affordable units on HRA brownfield • Produce Concept Design Options and Select Preferred Option 							+56

An initial assessment for the site shows it could achieve approximately 20nr SCC affordable rental properties, with a mix of 1 and 2 bedroom flats and 3 and 4 bed houses, to the current standards being delivered by the new build element of the Stock Increase Programme. This is in line with the identified need for family housing in this area.

The site has been historically used for industrial and housing purposes and is believed to have old underground basements that will need removing and potential land contamination due to the previous use. Desktop and intrusive surveys will be required to confirm the condition of the land and substrata an enable further assessment to be made of the remediation, engineering and environmental solutions required to make this land suitable for housing.

This proposed feasibility will determine the possibility of providing SCC housing on this site (in line with the identified need), the relative cost of development, identify constraints and identify potential site abnormalities, following completion of Phase 1 and Phase 2 Geotechnical surveys on the site.

What are the benefits?

Objectives

- Phase 1 & Phase 2 Geotechnical Survey data
- Massing options and reflective indicative masterplans
- Feasibility cost data for the proposed development to enable viability assessments to take place
- Budget cost estimate of £3,350K to be tested

Benefits

- Proposals to provide approximately 20nr SCC rent new build properties
- Proposals to regenerate an area of brownfield HRA land within a priority regeneration area

When will the project be completed?

Feasibility completion: May 2021

Project completion: February 2023

Costs

CDS Fees £45.8K

Surveys £10.0K

TOTAL £55.8K

Budget

20/21 £40.5K

21/22 £15.3K

TOTAL £55.8K

	<p>Budget Current 20/21 Budget £46.9K - £3.5K = £43.4K Current 21/22 Budget £0.0K + £796.6K = £796.6K Current Total Budget £46.9K + £793.1K = £840.0K</p>													
	<p>Funding Stock Increase Programme HRA Block Allocation</p>													
	<p>Procurement i. Contractor by mini-competition via the YORbuild2 Framework.</p>													
<p>Page 45</p>	<p>New Build Council Housing – Derbyshire Lane</p> <p>Scheme description A decision to increase the Council’s Stock Increase Programme (SIP) target to 3,100 was taken in October 2019. This expanded programme consists of new build homes on existing HRA land, the acquisition of properties (existing or new build), and an assumption that land in private ownership would need to be acquired to help deliver a balanced programme. Land acquisition and the subsequent development of Council homes on these ‘newly acquired sites will enable much needed affordable housing to be delivered in areas where there is currently limited suitable land in Council ownership. At the start of 2020 an opportunity was identified to acquire a site on Derbyshire Lane and produce apartments over 4 floors, including parking.</p> <p>What has changed? Following completion of a feasibility and options appraisal the scheme now has a way forward and a cost plan to provide 13 apartments over 4 floors with a reduced top floor as advised by planners to mitigate the impact on the street scene.</p> <p>The purchase of the land is expected to go through by February 21, and once finalised tendering needs to be able to take place straightaway with a view to having a Contract Award in April 21.</p> <p>Variation type: Budget Increase</p> <p>Cost</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>Purchase of Land</td> <td>£442.0K</td> </tr> <tr> <td>CDS Fees</td> <td>£237.4K</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Surveys</td> <td>£16.0K</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Construction</td> <td>£1,855.0K</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Contingency</td> <td>£250.0K</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>£2,800.4K</td> </tr> </table> <p>Budget Current 20/21 Budget £500.5K - £14.0K = £486.5K</p>	Purchase of Land	£442.0K	CDS Fees	£237.4K	Surveys	£16.0K	Construction	£1,855.0K	Contingency	£250.0K	Total	£2,800.4K	<p>2,300</p>
Purchase of Land	£442.0K													
CDS Fees	£237.4K													
Surveys	£16.0K													
Construction	£1,855.0K													
Contingency	£250.0K													
Total	£2,800.4K													

	<p>Current 21/22 Budget £0.0K + £1,783.9K = £1,783.9K Current 22/23 Budget £0.0K + £530.0K = £530.0K Total 20-23 Budget £500.5K + £2,299.9K = £2,800.4K</p> <p>Funding HRA £1,707.3K 1-4-1 Receipts £840.1K S106 £253.0K Total £2,800.4K</p> <p>Funding Stock Increase Programme HRA Block Allocation</p> <p>Procurement Unchanged.</p>	
<p>Page 46</p>	<p>HRA Stock Increase Programme Block Allocation</p> <p>Scheme description Block allocation of funding for the Stock Increase Programme.</p> <p>What has changed? New allocations have been set for the Annual Housing Programme Refresh. Allocations for the 3 schemes progressing through December approvals are include in the HRA Stock Increase Programme Block Allocation Programme Refresh, but these allocations now need drawing down to the individual scheme budgets:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) £55.8K Titterton Close GN Feasibility b) £793.1K Meersbrook Park Road TA Outline Business Case c) £2,299.9K Derbyshire Lane Outline Business Case <p>See separate entries above.</p> <p>Variation type: Budget decrease</p> <p>Budget Programme Refresh Budget 20/21 £128.0K - £40.5K + £3.5K + £14.0K = £105.0K Programme Refresh Budget 21/22 £8,088.1K - £15.3K - £796.6K - £1783.9K = £5,492.3K Programme Refresh Budget 22/23 £49,858.6K - £0.0K - £0.0K - £530.0K = £49,328.6K</p> <p>Total Programme Refresh Budget £192,307.8K - £55.8K - £793.1K - £2,299.9K = £189,159.0K</p>	<p>-3,149</p>

	Funding	Stock Increase Programme; see individual scheme entries
	Procurement	N/A
F	Housing investment	
	New additions	
	None	
	Variations and reasons for change	
	None	0*
Page 47	People – capital and growth	
	New additions	
	<p>Chancet Wood – Specialist Primary Provision</p> <p>Why do we need the project?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What is the problem we are trying to address? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ There is a growing demand for SEN placements within Locality E. ○ The ongoing pressure on The Rowan and Mossbrook Specials Schools has created a capacity issue due to lack of space, being oversubscribed and increasing requests for placements. ○ Having a localised solution would alleviate the pressure on the system, reduce the number of tribunal cases and provide a local provision for those children who cannot be placed in a special school <p>How are we going to achieve it?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What is the proposed solution / recommended option? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Create a temporary satellite hub linked to The Rowan and Mossbrook, focusing on ASD support, in the vacant Children's Centre at Chancet Wood for 16 – 20 pupils. ○ This will be a temporary solution until a permanent solution is in place. 	+241

Page 48	<p>What are the benefits?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Benefits: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Reduction in tribunal cases ○ Provide localised provision for those children requiring SEND support who cannot be placed in a special school. ○ Links will be established with both special schools – this will support with curriculum delivery, staffing, teaching and learning, SEND expertise and knowledge etc <p>When will the project be completed: 31/08/2021</p>							
	Funding Source	DfE Special Provision Capital: SEND	Amount	£241k	Status		Approved	
	Procurement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Design undertaken in-house by the Capital Delivery Service. ii. Capital works by closed competitive tender procedure via Constructionline, inviting a short-list of locally based SMEs to tender. iii. Supply of furniture by competitive quotes. 						
<p>Mossbrook Specialist School - Additional 50 Places - Feasibility</p> <p>Why do we need the project?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What is the problem we are trying to address? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ There is a growing demand for SEN placements within Locality E. The ongoing pressure on The Rowan and Mossbrook Special Schools has created a capacity issue due to lack of space, being oversubscribed and increasing requests for placements. ○ Having a localised solution would alleviate the pressure on the system, reduce the number of tribunal cases and provide local provision • Why do we need to address it now? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Place are urgently required for SEND pupils. One of the most viable options from a site and educational perspective is the expansion of Mossbrook Primary. • What are the implications of not doing it now? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Children not able to access placements. ○ Potential of LA not meeting legal requirements. 							+50	

Page 49	<p>How are we going to achieve it?</p> <p>Either expansion or full rebuild of Mossbrook site – this feasibility to determine solution</p> <p>What are the benefits</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Fill gaps in spaces in Locality E ○ Improved SEND provision <p>When will the project be completed?</p> <p>[tbc upon outcome of feasibility]</p>							
	Funding Source	DfE Special Provision Capital: SEND	Amount	£50k	Status		Approved	
	Procurement		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Feasibility undertaken in-house by the Capital Delivery Service. ii. Surveys by competitive quotes. 					
Variations and reasons for change (please specify all that apply budget increase / budget reduction / reprofiling / scope change / procurement)								
<p>Combined Fire Risk Assessment (FRA) Project across 4 sites: Budget increase moving from Outline Business Case to Final Business Case</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Rivelin Primary FRA ● Royd NI FRA ● Mundella Primary FRA ● Angram Bank Primary FRA <p>Scheme description</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● In response to duties under The Regulatory Reform (Fire Safety) order 2005 a programme of Fire Risk Assessments (FRAs) is undertaken across the SCC estate. The resulting FRAs generate a report which identifies management and building construction requirements. ● In order for SCC to meet statutory obligations as landlord the following activities are required: Review FRA reports to identify appropriate actions; Record management actions to be implemented by T&FM & Identify required building works to ensure compliance with fire safety regulations <p>What has changed?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● The objective is for completion of works at the top four priority sites for FRA within the school estate (Rivelin Primary, Royd NI, Mundella Primary, and Angram Bank Primary Schools) in 2021. However, completion of all tendered works could be in the region of £1.78m construction costs for 4 sites. The Outline Business Case for an approved budget of £1.2m was originally for 3 sites due to the funding available at the time, however following additional funding allocations its is now possible to deliver all four sites in 2021. 								+891

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The additional £891k funding will enable all 4 sites to be delivered for a total cost of £2.1m. <p>Variation type: -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Budget increase: of £891k over all four sites, with the bulk of it at Angram Bank due to the reasons given above, as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rivelin Pmy : from £508.6k to £639.7k: increase of £131.1k Royd NI : from £280.6k to £428.6k: increase of £148.0k Mundella Pmy : from £405.0k to £442.1k: increase of £ 37.1k Angram Bank : from £ 8.2k to £582.9k: increase of £574.7k 			
	<table border="1"> <tr> <td data-bbox="185 632 336 632">Funding</td> <td data-bbox="336 632 1980 632">DfE Schools Condition Allocation</td> </tr> </table>	Funding	DfE Schools Condition Allocation	
Funding	DfE Schools Condition Allocation			
	<table border="1"> <tr> <td data-bbox="185 632 604 632">Procurement</td> <td data-bbox="604 632 1980 632">i. Varied to procurement by rotation via the YORbuild2 framework.</td> </tr> </table>	Procurement	i. Varied to procurement by rotation via the YORbuild2 framework.	
Procurement	i. Varied to procurement by rotation via the YORbuild2 framework.			
Page 50	<p>Gibson House</p> <p>Scheme description</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Feasibility study to look at increasing residential placements in the City. The LA has a Corporate Parenting responsibility to provide suitable local accommodation for looked after children and care leavers and is looking to create a 6-Bed residential placement home for Learning Difficulty and Disability (LDD) residents. <p>What has changed?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Change of Funding Source: £18.3k Special Provision Capital released for alternative use, as project now funded from Capital Receipts. <p>Variation type: -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Funding reallocation only 	0		
	<table border="1"> <tr> <td data-bbox="185 1206 336 1206">Funding</td> <td data-bbox="336 1206 1980 1206">Now to be funded from the Corporate Investment Fund</td> </tr> </table>	Funding	Now to be funded from the Corporate Investment Fund	
Funding	Now to be funded from the Corporate Investment Fund			
	<table border="1"> <tr> <td data-bbox="185 1206 604 1206">Procurement</td> <td data-bbox="604 1206 1980 1206">Unchanged.</td> </tr> </table>	Procurement	Unchanged.	
Procurement	Unchanged.			
	<p>Heritage Park – Holgate Meadows SEND</p> <p>Scheme description</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A number of proposed Heritage and Holgate places have been lost at tribunal, in favour of Independent Specialist Provision (ISP), due to lack of calm and sensory spaces in the school This is an opportunity through undertaking works to prevent avoidable ISP placements. Areas on the school sites have been identified for the calm and sensory spaces, funding would be used to renovate these areas at Heritage Park & Holgate Meadows Schools. 	0		

	<p>What has changed?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Change of Funding Source: £85.0k Special Provision Capital released for alternative use, as now to be funded from S106. <p>Variation type: -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Funding reallocation only. 	
	<p>Funding Now to be funded from the Section 106 Fund</p>	
	<p>Procurement Unchanged.</p>	
<p style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Page 51</p>	<p>Heritage- Holgate Complex Learners</p> <p>Scheme description</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Feasibility study to provide a suitable space for 4 complex learners, identified as to be placed at Heritage and Holgate schools. There are 4 complex learners who have been identified for Heritage and Holgate, however they require a separate space from the main site. Caretaker's House on Heritage Park site to be considered. <p>What has changed?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Change of Funding Source: £16.3k Special Provision Capital released for alternative use, as now to be funded from S106. <p>Variation type: -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Funding reallocation only 	<p>0</p>
	<p>Funding Now to be funded from the Section 106 Fund</p>	
	<p>Procurement Unchanged.</p>	
	<p>Heritage – Holgate Accommodation Review</p> <p>Scheme description</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Feasibility study to look at a relocation of the primary cohorts from both sites across mainstream primary sites would also release space at both Heritage & Holgate to accommodate additional learners. Review Heritage and Holgate sites for capacity and identify options for site expansion and/or changes to site configuration in order to increase capacity. Anticipate output including surveys, designs and options appraisal for expansion. <p>What has changed?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Change of Funding Source: £5.7k Special Provision Capital released for alternative use, as now to be funded from S106. 	<p>0</p>

	Variation type: -		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Funding reallocation only 		
	Funding	Now to be funded from the Section 106 Fund	
	Procurement	Unchanged.	
Page 52	Watercliffe Meadows Community Primary School		20/21: -161
	Scheme description		21/22: +161
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Refurbishment – Meadows Family Centre nursery area. To increase the availability of Funded Early Learning (FEL) and Extended Free Entitlement (EFE) places in Sheffield. The project was due to start on 11 May 2020 and complete on 28 August 2020. The new provision will create new nursery places for 40 Funded Early Learning children during term-time, and 40 wrap-around and holiday care places. <p>What has changed?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Variation type: - Slippage of £161k from 2020-21 into 2021-22: due to Covid - SCC suspended awarding contracts and the project was unable to proceed to tender. Project paused until tender process reconvened in June. 		
Funding	DfE School Nurseries Capital funding		
	Procurement	Unchanged.	
	Pipworth Primary Structural Works – Slippage		20/21: -683.3
	Scheme description		21/22:+683.3
	<p>Following identification of structural issues at the Dining Hall a project has been approved to replace the existing kitchen/dining facilities with a new modular/pod type structure on the current car park of the school site. The existing kitchen/dining facilities will then be demolished to enable the reinstatement of the car par</p> <p>What has changed?</p> <p>Construction start/completion dates moved back due to a retendering exercise and Covid-19 sourcing delays. As the expected start on site is now in March 21 with an expected completion date in August 21.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Variation type: Slippage: £683.3k to slip into 21/22, as noted above. 		

	Funding	DfE Schools Condition Allocation	
	Procurement	Unchanged.	
H	Essential compliance and maintenance		
	New additions		
Page 53	Ecclesfield Depot. – post feasibility works		+216.4
	<p>Why do we need the project?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What is the problem we are trying to address? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The welfare facilities at Concord Park depot are in a very poor condition and do not meet current standards. Under the Health and Safety at Work Act 1974, employers have a legal duty, so far as is reasonably practicable, to ensure the health, safety and welfare at work of all their employees. This includes the provision and maintenance of a working environment for their employees that is, so far as is reasonably practicable, safe, without risks to health, and adequate as regards facilities and arrangements for their welfare at work. Hence, an alternative provision is required. • Why do we need to address it now? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ As part of Parks Depot strategy, it has been decided to close the Concord Park depot and part of Clay Wheels Lane depot and move the staff to the Ecclesfield depot. Ecclesfield depot is currently leased to Amey who operate the Streets Ahead Highways Maintenance and Management service across Sheffield. In order to make the most efficient use of the corporate estate it is intended that both Parks Services and Amey will share the facilities on the site however, some areas may be restricted to Parks staff. <p>How are we going to achieve it?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Shared facilities at Ecclesfield Depot will include carpark, kitchen/canteen, drying room, locker room. Park services will also have their own office with dedicated IT services connected to the Council’s network and an external area for the siting of Parks storage containers. <p>What are the benefits?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objectives: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Ecclesfield Depot Kitchen welfare facilities to be redeveloped ○ Supply storage for Parks equipment and a new fuel store ○ Office to be fitted out for Parks staff including reconnection to SCC I.T. network ○ Welfare improvements: 		

Page 54	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Kitchen/Canteen: Refurbishment of room to allow storage and preparation of food - including new kitchen units, white goods and redecoration including new floor. ▪ Locker Room: Replacement of lockers <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Benefits: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Welfare, Office and storage facilities that are safe, secure and fit for purpose ○ More efficient use of the corporate estate by co-locating with Amey staff ○ Proposed ongoing maintenance revenue savings of around £30k per annum. <p>When will the project be completed? 15/08/2021</p>						
	Funding Source	Revenue contribution to capital from Minor Works	Amount	IBC: £81k OBC <u>+£216.4k</u> Total £224.5k	Status		Approved
	Procurement	i. Capital works by closed competitive tender procedure via Constructionline, inviting a short-list Sheffield City Region based contractors to tender ii. Surveys by competitive quotes.					
Variations and reasons for change							
<p>Asbestos Removal – Council-wide contract – <u>*(Procurement Strategy Only)</u></p> <p>Why do we need the project?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The council commission a large number of maintenance and refurbishment projects across the SCC estate. Such projects, in older buildings, have a risk of asbestos and under The Control of Asbestos Regulations (CAR) 2012 Act and responsibility for management of asbestos is placed on SCC as duty holder. It is therefore necessary to commission a Refurbishment & Demolition (R&D) survey prior to the commencement of any construction work. If asbestos is identified, it is necessary to manage or remove it. • The current contract for the removal and disposal of asbestos comes ends on 31st March 2021. The incumbent contractor will be invited to bid for the new term contract. 							0*

Page 55	<p>Clients to provide budgets to the first year of the contract include Essential Compliance and Maintenance Services and Housing Services. The estimated value of works that may be put through the contract is £570k p.a. of which approximately 50% may be categorised as revenue expenditure.</p> <p>How are we going to achieve it?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Procure a regional contractor to carry out Asbestos Removal works via a 6 year Measured Term Contract (MTC) to increase attractiveness and maximise competition. <p>What are the benefits?</p> <p>Benefits:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Cost efficiencies as using Measured term contract removes the need to carry out multiple tenders. ○ One corporate contract used by clients across the Council. ○ Developing a long term commercial relationship with specialist SME. 							
	Funding Source	Various – to be determined as individual projects come through for approval	Amount	Up to £570k p.a.	Status		Approved	
	Procurement	i. Removals contractor by competition via the EN Framework.						

Page 56	Bolehill Pavillion Reinstatement Scheme description <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bolehills recreation ground had an adequate pavilion which was demolished following an arson attack The loss of the pavilion has had a major effect on the community, in particular the bowls club who have lost their well-used, fit for purpose accommodation. A project was previously approved with a budget of £234k to replace the facility What has changed? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Due to all returned tenders being over budget, a revised procurement exercise based on a simplified design is now proposed in order to achieve the client's required outcomes and benefits. The proposed solution is to proceed to install a new modular unit on the site of the former bowls club house. This is a value engineered proposition compared with original proposals, for which returned tenders were over budget. The revised approach utilises a more basic container like structure. Variation type: - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Budget increase: required to deliver the project, using a simplified design, following initial costs indicated as being even higher for the original design. Total cost of scheme now £320k 		+86
	Funding	Extra £86k from Sport England Grant (£50k) and Parks Revenue Reserves contingency funding (£36k)	
	Procurement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Design undertaken in-house by the Capital Delivery Service. ii. Capital works by closed competitive tender procedure via Constructionline, inviting specialist contractors to tender. 	
I	Heart of the City II		
	New additions		
	None		
	Variations and reasons for change		
	Various Heart of The City II Schemes Slippage / Reprofiles Following an extremely challenging year for project delivery largely due to the impact of COVID 19, a number of Heart of The City schemes have submitted requests for reprofile / slippage of budgets to reflect the revised delivery timescales reflected in their recovery plans and rescheduling of		20/21 -18,754 21/22 +1,551 22/23 +14,483

	investment as part of the changing economic environment. Details of these schemes and values are detailed in the Slippage / Reprofile summary document. See Appendix 2	23/24 +2,720
--	---	--------------

This page is intentionally left blank